arXiv:1403.3877v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 16 Mar 2014

Universal metastability of the low-spin state in Co^{2+} systems: non-Mott type pressure-induced spin-state transition in $CoCl_2$

Bongjae Kim, Kyoo Kim, and B. I. Min
Department of Physics, PCTP, Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang 790-784, Korea
(Dated: March 18, 2014)

We have investigated the pressure-induced spin-state transition in Co^{2+} systems in terms of a competition between the Hund's exchange energy (J) and the crystal-field splitting (Δ_{CF}). First, we show the universal metastability of the low-spin state in octahedrally coordinated Co^{2+} systems. Then we present the strategy to search for a Co^{2+} system, for which the mechanism of spin-state and metal-insulator transitions is governed not by the Mott physics but by J vs. Δ_{CF} physics. Using $CoCl_2$ as a prototypical Co^{2+} system, we have demonstrated the pressure-induced spin-state transition from high-spin to low-spin, which is accompanied with insulator-to-metal and antiferromagnetic to half-metallic ferromagnetic transitions. Combined with metastable character of Co^{2+} and the high compressibility nature of $CoCl_2$, the transition pressure as low as 27 GPa can be identified on the basis of J vs. Δ_{CF} physics.

PACS numbers: 71.30.+h, 75.30.Wx, 71.70.Ch, 71.15.Mb

The spin-state transition in transition metal (TM) complexes has been a subject of intense study. The stabilization of one spin-state over another is determined by the competition of various energy scales, such as Coulomb correlation (U), band-width (W), Hund's exchange energy (J), and crystal-field splitting (Δ_{CF}), and so on. Pressure induces the spin-state transition by changing the relative strength of different energy scales, especially either W or Δ_{CF}. In many cases, the pressure-induced spin-state transition is accompanied by the metal-insulator transition, which can be well described with either J/Δ_{CF} or U/W ratio. In real systems, both are important and interconnected. The physics of J vs. Δ_{CF} is hard to explore in usual pressure study due to the entrance of U vs. W physics in the form of d-p hybridization or crystal distortion. In a simple TM monoxide, MnO for example, as pressure increases, the change of Δ_{CF} acts as primary role in the high-spin (HS) to low-spin (LS) state transition and the insulator-to-metal transition. However, the underlying physics is governed not only by J and Δ_{CF} but also U and W, and even by the charge transfer energy ($\Delta_{CT} = \varepsilon_d - \varepsilon_p$), which all take part in the process of the spin state transition[1].

Studies of spin-state transition in Co-containing complexes have been mostly concentrated on the Co^{3+} (d^6) systems. Well-known example is perovskite $LaCoO_3$. $LaCoO_3$ shows temperature dependent spin-state transition, which can be interpreted as LS ($S = 0$) to HS ($S = 2$) or LS to intermediate-spin (IS) ($S = 1$) transition. The exact magnetic phase and the underlying mechanism are, however, still under debate [2–6]. Since the energy scales J and Δ_{CF} in $LaCoO_3$ are similar, thermal excitation can easily mix or switch different spin-states in cooperation with lattice distortion [3]. Recently, Kuneš *et al.*[6] argued the spin-state transition in terms of a purely electronic origin without lattice effect. Also, for $LaCoO_3$, it is known that pressure produces the similar effect to temperature, which is described as the depopulation of IS state rather than phase change [7–10].

In contrast to Co^{3+} systems, the spin-state transition in Co^{2+} (d^7) systems is relatively unexplored. It is because most of Co^{2+} systems have stable HS ($S = 3/2$) states. Nevertheless, the HS-LS transitions have been discussed for a few Co^{2+} systems, such as organic complexes [11–15], $YBaCo_2O_5$ [16, 17], $CoCl_2$ [18], and $Ca_3Co_{2-x}Mn_xO_6$ [19]. Especially, for $CoCl_2$, the pressure-induced metallization driven by the spin-state transition was indicated by carrying out high-pressure optical absorption measurements [18]. But the isostructural spin-state transition in Co^{2+} systems has not been confirmed experimentally and theoretically yet.

Motivated by the above investigations of spin-state transition for Co^{3+} systems, we have studied energetics of different spin-states of various octahedrally coordinated Co^{2+} (CoX_6) systems on the basis of the *ab initio* electronic structure calculations. Their magnetic properties are described by Co^{2+} ions, which have HS $3d^7$ ($t_{2g}^5 e_g^2$) configurations in the ground state. Interestingly, all the tested systems have LS ($S = 1/2$) metastable states, and moreover their HS-LS energy differences are of almost the same scale, independent of the anion (X) type, Co-X bond length, and CoX_6 octahedron distortions. We then discuss the strategy to search for the materials having the pressure-driven spin-state transition governed by J vs. Δ_{CF} physics, unlike other TM oxides that show the Mott-type transition governed by U and W. We propose that $CoCl_2$ is a prototypical Co^{2+} system having J vs. Δ_{CF} physics. We show that $CoCl_2$ has abrupt collapses in volume and spin magnetic moment at the spin-state transition point, which is also accompanied by the insulator-to-metal transition.

In order to investigate the spin-state transition in Co^{2+} systems, we have performed the electronic struc-

ture calculations employing the full-potential linearized augmented plane wave (FLAPW) band method [20] implemented in WIEN2k [21]. Since the often-used pseudopotential band method is known to have problems in describing the spin-states under volume reduction, the application of full-potential band method is essential in the pressure studies [22]. For the exchange-correlation energy functional, we used the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) with the PBEsol functional [23]. On-site Coulomb correlation is treated with the GGA+U method in the rotationally invariant form [24, 25]. The spin-orbit coupling (SOC) was included in the second variational scheme, when necessary.

We first identify the general metastability of LS phase in the octahedrally coordinated Co^{2+} systems. We have carried out the fixed-spin moment (FSM) calculations for various Co^{2+} systems, including chain-type brannerite α-CoV_2O_6 [26] and $CoNb_2O_6$ [27], complex chain-tetragonal $BaCo_2V_2O_8$ [28], cubic perovskite-type cobaltate fluoride $KCoF_3$ [29], and simple layered rhombohedral cobaltate dichloride $CoCl_2$ [30]. All the above systems have Co as the only magnetic ion. Figure 1(a) shows the energy vs. spin magnetic moment for those Co^{2+} systems. Besides the global ground HS states at the moment (M) of $3\mu_B/Co^{2+}$, we can clearly see the metastable LS states at $M = 1\mu_B/Co^{2+}$ for all cases. Furthermore, the HS-LS energy differences (ΔE's) are almost the same. ΔE's are 400−600 meV and 570−830 meV for $U = 0$ and $U = 2.0$ eV, respectively. The inclusion of U tends to increase ΔE, because the HS state is more favored with larger U. However, the universal metastability of the LS phase remains the same.

The similarity in the energy scale of ΔE for different systems is striking because not only the macroscopic crystal structure but also the local CoX_6 (X=O, F and Cl) octahedron structure are quite different from system to system. For example, the Co-X bond length is very short for $KCoF_3$ (2.03Å), but very long for $CoCl_2$ (2.42Å) even though both have almost ideal octahedra. $CoNb_2O_6$, and $BaCo_2V_2O_8$ have the tetragonal distortions, and α-CoV_2O_6 has the additional in-plane rectangular distortion that produces extraordinary crystal field levels [31]. This feature suggests that the metastability of LS phase is robust in the octahedrally coordinated Co^{2+} systems.

In a simplified picture, the energy difference between the LS ($t_{2g}^6 e_g^1$) and the HS ($t_{2g}^5 e_g^2$) state can be expressed as

$$\Delta E = E_{LS} - E_{HS} = 2J - \Delta_{CF}. \quad (1)$$

Because the Hund's exchange J does not have much system dependence, the similar ΔE value for all systems suggests that Δ_{CF} does not vary much either in usual CoX_6 systems despite the different coordinating structures. To highlight the uniqueness of LS tendency in Co^{2+} systems, we have compared the LS-HS energetics



FIG. 1: (Color online) Energy vs. spin magnetic moment obtained by using the FSM calculation. (a) For octahedrally coordinated Co^{2+} systems: α-CoV_2O_6, $CoNb_2O_6$, $BaCo_2V_2O_8$, and $KCoF_3$. (b) For two dichlorides: $CoCl_2$ and $MnCl_2$. Results in the GGA+U with U=2 eV are shown. Insets are for $U = 0$. Spin magnetic moment is obtained by dividing total magnetic moment by number of Co ions in the system to include the induced magnetic moment.

of isostructural $CoCl_2$ and $MnCl_2$ systems. Following the same idea of Eq. (1), Mn^{2+} (d^5) has $\Delta E = E_{LS} - E_{HS} = 6J - 2\Delta_{CF}$, and so much larger LS-HS energy difference (by $4J - \Delta_{CF}$) can be expected. Indeed, as shown in Fig. 1(b), ΔE for $MnCl_2$ is obtained to be more than 2000 meV without U (3500 meV with $U = 2.0$ eV), which is much larger than that for $CoCl_2$.

Let us now consider the possible manipulation to make the metastable LS phase be stabilized over the HS phase.

The easiest way is to apply the pressure. When the pressure is applied on the system, Δ_{CF} will be increased due to the contraction of Co-X distances, and eventually the HS to LS transition can be realized when Δ_{CF} becomes larger than J. However, as mentioned earlier, the emergence of the Mott physics coming from the change of U and W under pressure can complicate the approach. It is worth noticing here that, according to recent theoretical studies[32, 33], the effective U value for d^7 system is independent of the pressure, while that for d^5 Mott system decreases under pressure. Then we can safely assume the constant U in the following pressure studies.

To treat the Co^{2+} system in term of J vs. Δ_{CF} physics, we have to consider two interconnected factors: W and compressibility. In the case of the TM oxides

(fluorides), the hybridization between TM-3d and O-2p (F-2p) bands is very strong, and highly dependent on the pressure. Hence, as the pressure increases, W changes much and the resulting resilience of the TM-O bond changes the shapes of octahedra too. Thereby, pressure changes not only Δ_{CF} but also W. Moreover, due to the rigidity of TM-3d and O-2p (F-2p) bond, the structural transitions easily occur under pressure. So there are overall changes in the octahedral rotation patterns and the connectivity, which makes the system more dependent on W value.

The compressibility, the volume change with respect to pressure, should also be taken into account. A system with high compressibility can be a good candidate of the spin-state transition, since Δ_{CF} can be controlled under small pressure without distorting the system or changing W value. It is known that chlorides usually have better compressibility than oxides and fluorides [34], because the hybridization of d-p bands does not change much upon pressure.

Based on the above criteria, we present $CoCl_2$ as an ideal model system to study J vs. Δ_{CF} physics for the following reasons: (i) W effect is much reduced due to weaker bonding between Co-d and Cl-p, and accordingly the compressibility is very large. (ii) No structural phase transition occurs up to the spin-state transition pressure [18]. This is in contrast to CoF_2, for which the structural transition is easily induced under pressure even below 10 GPa [35]. (iii) Not like other Co^{2+} systems, the orbital magnetic moment is small ($\sim$ 10% of the spin magnetic moment), so that the magnetic behavior can be described by the spin magnetic moment only [36]. (iv) The Jahn-Teller distortion is suppressed in the LS phase [18]. This is important because the Jahn-Teller distortion in many half-filled e_g orbital systems makes the situation complicated [37]. (v) Finally, the extensive band calculations are tractable due to its rather simple structure (trigonal space group $R\bar{3}m$).

$CoCl_2$ contrasts well with CoO, which is one of the most studied Mott insulator governed by U vs. W physics. In CoO, strong bonding between Co-3d and O-2p gives resilience to the system, and so resulting compressibility is small. Consequently, the spin-state transition pressure is as high as 90 GPa, which is about three times higher than that of $CoCl_2$. Moreover, several structural transitions exist before reaching the transition pressure [38–42]. Also the large total magnetic moment of CoO, 3.8 - 3.98μ_B, reflects the large orbital magnetic moment of Co^{2+}, which hampers the accurate description of the electronic structure of the system [43–45].

Figure 2(a) provides the FSM calculational results of $CoCl_2$ for two different volumes, the equilibrium (V/V_0=1.0) and V/V_0=0.72. For V/V_0=0.72, the LS state is stabilized over the HS state with ΔE of $\sim$ 300 meV. Related partial density of states (PDOS) of Co-3d band for each case is shown in Fig. 2(b). At the equilibrium volume, the HS ($t_{2g}^5 e_g^2$) state with large band gap is obtained, while, for V/V_0=0.72, the LS ($t_{2g}^6 e_g^1$) metallic state is obtained, which indicates that the spin-state transition is accompanied by the insulator-to-metal transition. Contrary to Mott systems that show highly increased bandwidth upon pressure, the overall bandwidth of Co-d in $CoCl_2$ does not vary much, which reflects the restricted effect of W in this system [46]. Interestingly, in the LS state, the Fermi level cuts the spin-up e_g band only, so as to produce the half-metallic nature. This point will be discussed more below.



FIG. 2: (Color online) (a) The FSM calculations for $CoCl_2$ at the equilibrium volume (V/V_0=1.0) and at the LS stabilized volume (V/V_0=0.72). (b) PDOS of Co-3d (t_{2g} and e_g) band for each volume. The positive and negative DOSs correspond to majority and minority spin channels, respectively. At the equilibrium volume, the insulating HS state is well-established, while, at the LS stabilized volume, the half-metallic LS state is realized. Results are in the GGA+U scheme with U=2 eV.

In the case of Mott-type systems, the simple DFT+U (DFT: density functional theory) scheme fails to describe the insulator-to-metal transition. For example, for CoO, the DFT+U approach reproduced the observed pressure-induced HS to LS transition successfully, but failed to manifest the insulator-to-metal transition [42]. The same failure occurred for MnO, too [47]. Kuneš *et al.* [1] have shown that the dynamical treatment is necessary to explain the spin-state transition in Mott systems. In contrast, in the case of $CoCl_2$, U/W physics is not pronounced, and so the description of insulator-to-metal transition is possible in terms of the DFT+U. The success in the description of $CoCl_2$ within DFT+U scheme, in turn, implies that the system is governed by J vs. Δ_{CF} physics [46, 48].



FIG. 3: (Color online) Energy (E) vs. volume curve for $CoCl_2$ shows the spin-state transition at V/V_0=0.77. (inset) Volume vs. pressure curve obtained from enthalpy $H = E + pV$. Abrupt volume collapse can be found at the transition pressure denoted by the vertical dotted line. Results are in the GGA+U with U=2 eV.



FIG. 4: (Color online) Spin magnetic moment vs. volume curve for $CoCl_2$ shows hysteresis behavior between HS and LS states. The vertical dotted line corresponds to V/V_0=0.77, corresponding to the internal energy crossover volume. Schematic diagrams of corresponding HS and LS states are also shown.

In Fig. 3, we have shown the energy-volume curves for both HS and LS phases. The spin-state transition is found at around V/V_0=0.77 for U=2eV. In the inset, the volume vs. pressure curve is also shown. Transition pressure (P_H) obtained from the Maxwell construction is marked with vertical dotted line at 27.0 GPa, which fits well with the experimental value of 30 GPa [18]. The transition pressure is known to increase with U [42, 47], and we can find the same tendency for $CoCl_2$ too (See Table I). Appropriate U value can be determined by comparison with the experiment [18], which agrees with our calculation on the occurrence of insulator-to-metal transition.

Noteworthy is that, as in the case of Mott-type transition in MnO and Fe_2O_3, the abrupt volume collapse as large as 7.2% occurs at the position of the spin-state transition (See inset in Fig. 3) [49–51]. According to Pasternak *et al.*[50], the Mott transition does not lead to a volume collapse at the pressure-driven transition. The volume collapse manifested in $CoCl_2$ can be a good complementary example suggesting the spin-state transition as a source of volume collapse upon pressure.

The transition behavior of $CoCl_2$ is totally different from that of Mott-type systems, such as CoO and MnO. While the latter show continuous transition with the change of population in e_g and t_{2g} orbitals for some pressure range [1, 38, 52, 53], the former shows the sudden switch from HS to LS phase due to its intrinsic metastable character of Co^{2+} (Fig. 2(a)). Also the transition character in Co^{2+} systems is totally different from that in Co^{3+} systems, for which the thermal excitation promotes the population change of e_g and t_{2g} bands [8, 10].

TABLE I: Critical volume and pressure at the spin-state transition in $CoCl_2$ for each U value. P_E is obtained from internal energy crossover, and P_H is obtained from the Maxwell construction of enthalpy for the HS and LS states.

U (eV)	0	1.0	2.0	3.0
V/V_0	0.87	0.81	0.77	0.73
P_E (GPa)	13.4	22.1	33.3	41.6
P_H (GPa)	9.7	16.2	27.0	38.5

The evolution of the magnetic moment as a function of volume is described in Fig. 4. One can find the hysteresis behavior as the HS phase is turned to the LS phase and *vice versa* between V/V_0=0.75 and 0.80. Since many HS Co^{2+} systems have sizable orbital moment due to incomplete quenching, the total moment change in some systems can be larger than $2\mu_B$ at the spin-state transition.

In $CoCl_2$, as the spin-state changes from HS to LS, the magnetic structure is also found to change from antiferromagnetic (AFM) to ferromagnetic (FM). The HS AFM structure is described as FM layers coupled antiferromagnetically along the hexagonal c-axis [30, 36]. We compared total energies of AFM and FM $CoCl_2$ for different volumes, and found that, at V/V_0=1.0, the AFM state is favored by 5 meV/f.u., while, at V/V_0=0.72, the FM state is favored by 15 meV/f.u. Moreover, as shown in Fig. 2(b), the half-metallic state emerges in the FM state at V/V_0=0.72, which suggests that the double exchange mechanism becomes prevailing due to the conducting e_g electrons in the metallic LS phase.

In conclusion, we have confirmed the generality of the metastable LS state in the octahedrally coordinated Co^{2+} systems. For $CoCl_2$, as a prototypical Co^{2+} system, we have demonstrated the pressure-induced spin-state transition, which is governed by J vs. Δ_{CF} physics. Due to its high compressibility, Δ_{CF} easily overturns J at around 27 GPa, and the first order spin-state transition occurs from HS to LS with substantial volume collapse in company with the insulator-to-metal and AFM to half-metallic FM transitions. Since the Mott physics can be

excluded, we can argue that the spin-state transition and relevant behaviors found in $CoCl_2$ are general features of a system with J vs. Δ_{CF} physics.

We would like to thank H. C. Choi and G. Lee for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the NRF (No.2009-0079947), and by the KISTI supercomputing center (No. KSC-2012-C2-27).

[1] J. Kuneš, A. V. Lukoyanov, V. I. Anisimov, R. T. Scalettar, and W. E. Pickett, Nat. Mater. **7**, 198 (2008).

[2] R. R. Heikes, R. C. Miller, and R. Mazelsky, Physica **30**, 1600 (1964).

[3] P. M. Raccha and J. B. Goodenough, Phys. Rev. **155**, 932 (1967).

[4] M. A. Korotin, S. Yu. Ezhov, I. V. Solovyev, V. I. Anisimov, D. I. Khomskii, and G. A. Sawatzky Phys. Rev. B **54**, 5309 (1996).

[5] V. Křápek, P. Novák, J. Kuneš, D. Novoselov, Dm. M. Korotin, and V. I. Anisimov, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 195104 (2012)

[6] J. Kuneš and V. Křápek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 256401 (2011).

[7] I. A. Nekrasov, S. V. Streltsov, M. A. Korotin, and V. I. Anisimov, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 235113 (2003).

[8] T. Vogt, J. A. Hriljac, N. C. Hyatt, and P. Woodward, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 140401(R) (2003).

[9] K. Knížek, P. Novák, and Z. Jirák , Phys. Rev. B **71**, 054420 (2005)

[10] G. Vankô, J.-P. Rueff, A. Mattila, Z. Nemeth, and A. Shukla, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 024424 (2006).

[11] S. C. Jain, K. V. Reddy, and T. Rs. Reddy, J. Chem. Phys., **62**, 4366 (1975).

[12] A. M. Schmiedekamp, M. D. Ryan, R. J. Deeth, Inorg. Chem. **41**, 5733 (2002).

[13] A. Goodwin, Top. Curr. Chem. **234**, 23 (2004).

[14] P. Gütlich and H. A. Goodwin, *Spin Crossover in Transition Metal Compounds* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004)

[15] P. R. Varadwaj and H. M. Marquesb, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., **12**, 2126 (2010).

[16] T. Vogt, P. M. Woodward, P. Karen, B. A. Hunter, P. Henning, and A. R. Moodenbaugh, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 2969 (2000).

[17] S. K. Kwon, J. H. Park, and B. I. Min, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 14637(R) (2000).

[18] I. Hernández, and F. Rodríguez, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **121**, 042006 (2008).

[19] R. Flint, H.-T. Yi, P. Chandra, S.-W. Cheong, and V. Kiryukhin, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 092402 (2010).

[20] H. J. F. Jansen and A. J. Freeman, Phys. Rev. B **30**, 561 (1984).

[21] P. Blaha *et al. WIEN2k, ISBN 3-9501031-1-1.* Karlheinz Schwarz, Techn. Universität Wien, Austria, 2001.

[22] J. Kolorenč and L. Mitas, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 235118 (2007).

[23] J. P. Perdew, A. Ruzsinszky, G. I. Csonka, O. A. Vydrov, G. E. Scuseria, L. A. Constantin, X. Zhou, K. Burke, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 136406 (2008).

[24] V. I. Anisimov, I. V. Solovyev, M. A. Korotin, M. T. Czyżyk, and G. A. Sawatzky, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 16929 (1993).

[25] A. I. Liechtenstein, V. I. Anisimov, and J. Zaanen, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 5467(R) (1995).

[26] Z. He, J.-I. Yamaura, Y. Ueda, and W. Cheng, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **131**, 7554 (2009).

[27] C. Heid, H. Weitzel, P. Burlet, M. Bonnet, W. Gonschorek, T. Vogt, J. Norwig, and H. Fuess, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **151**, 123 (1995).

[28] Z. He, D. Fu, T. Kyômen, T. Taniyama and M. Itoh, Chem. Mater. **17**, 2924 (2005).

[29] N. Kijima, K. Tanaka, and F. Marumo, Acta. Cryst. B **37**, 545 (1981).

[30] M. T. Hutchings, J. Phys. C **6**, 3143 (1973).

[31] B. Kim, B. H. Kim, K. Kim, H. C. Choi, S.-Y. Park, Y. H. Jeong, and B. I. Min, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 220407(R) (2012).

[32] S. G. Ovchinnikov, JETP **107**, 140 (2008).

[33] I. S. Lyubutin, S. G. Ovchinnikov, A. G. Gavriliuk, and V. V. Struzhkin, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 085125 (2009).

[34] F. Aguado, F. Rodríguez, R. Valiente, A. Señas, and I. Goncharenko, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **16**, 1927 (2004).

[35] L. C. Ming, M. H. Manghnani, T. Matsui, and J. C. Jamieson, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter. **23**, 276 (1980).

[36] M. K. Wilkinson, J. W. Cable, E. O. Wollan, and W. C. Koehler, Phys. Rev. **113**, 497 (1959).

[37] H. C. Choi, J. H. Shim, and B. I. Min, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 172103 (2006).

[38] R. H. Cohen, I. I. Mazin, and D. G. Isaak, Science **275**, 654 (1997).

[39] Q. Guo, M.-K. Mao, J. Hu, J. Shu, and R. J. Hemley, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **14**, 11369 (2002).

[40] J.-P. Rueff, A. Mattila, J. Badro, G. Vankó, and A. Shukla, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **17**, S717 (2005).

[41] U. D. Wdowik, and D. Legut, J. Phys. Chem. Solid **69**, 1698 (2008).

[42] W. Zhang, K. Koepernik, M. Richter, and H. Eschrig, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 155123 (2009).

[43] W. L. Roth, Phys. Rev. **100**, 1333 (1958).

[44] W. Jauch, M. Reehuis, H. J. Bleif, F. Kubanek, and P. Pattison, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 052102 (2001).

[45] M. R. Norman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 1162 (1990).

[46] See supplement material for the detailed description of J, Δ_{CF}, W, and U parameter values.

[47] D. Kasinathan, K. Koepernik, and W. E. Pickett, New J. Phys. **9**, 235 (2007).

[48] Inclusion of the SOC does not change the overall electronic structure of the system. The orbital moment of 0.2 μ_B is obtained at the equilibrium volume, and 0.03 μ_B at V/V_0=0.72. We also checked the effect of trigonal distortion of the system by changing c/a ratio of $CoCl_2$ at each volume. The effect was negligible in view of the energy scale of the spin-state transition.

[49] C. S. Yoo, B. Maddox, J.-H. P. Klepeis, V. Iota, W. Evans, A. McMahan, M. Y. Hu, P. Chow, M. Somayazulu, D. Häusermann, R. T. Scalettar, and W. E. Pickett, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 115502 (2005).

[50] M. P. Pasternak, G. Kh. Rozenberg, G. Yu. Machavariani, O. Naaman, R. D. Taylor, and R. Jeanloz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 4663 (1999).

[51] J. Badro, G. Fiquet, V. V. Struzhkin, M. Somayazulu, H. K. Mao, G. Shen, and T. Le Bihan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 205504 (2002).

[52] L. Huang, Y. Wang, and X. Dai, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 245110

(2012).
[53] A. Mattila, J.-P. Rueff, J. Badro, G. Vankô, and A. Shukla, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 196404 (2007).